

♂ ♀. Pterostigma of the front wings, $\cdot 7$ ♂, $\cdot 8$ – $\cdot 9$ mm. ♀ long, surmounting less than one cell (91% ♂, 72.25% ♀), one cell (9% ♂, 26% ♀), or more than one (1.75% ♀); of the hind wings $\cdot 85$ – $\cdot 95$ mm. long, surmounting less than one cell (91.25% ♂, 75% ♀), one cell (8.75% ♂, 23.25% ♀), or more than one (1.75% ♀).

Antenodal cells on the front wings 4 (73.6% ♂, 74.2% ♀), 3 (15% ♂, 20.3% ♀), or 3+ (11.3% ♂, 5.5% ♀); on the hind wings 3 (96.25% ♂, 93% ♀), 4 (2.5% ♂, 7% ♀), or 2+ (1.25% ♂).

Dimensions.—Abdomen, ♂ 21.5–23, ♀ 20.5–22; hind wing, ♂ 17–19.5, ♀ 18–20.5 mm.

Hab. MEXICO, Tepic (*coll. Calif. Acad. Sci.*: 1 ♂, 1 ♀), Aguas Calientes (*coll. Deam*: 1 ♂), Guadalajara (*Schumann*: 2 ♀), Tlalpam (*Barrett, colls. Adams, P. P. C.*: 7 ♂, 4 ♀), and Mexico city (*Barrett, colls. McLachlan, Adams, P. P. C.*: 32 ♂, 21 ♀).

Collected at Mexico city and Tlalpam in July and September, at Aguas Calientes in December.

There does not seem to be a constant dissimilarity in the male appendages of var. *nahuana* and of *A. agrioides* type. The colour-differences are given in the key.

The varietal name is modified from the Nahuatl, or Nahuatlán, family of tribes, the members of which inhabited much the same region as does this variety.

Division II. *

The black biserial hairs, spines, or bristles on the legs short (each one usually equal to or shorter than the interval separating it from its next neighbour). Tarsal claws each with an inferior tooth.

The forms which comprise this present division were mostly included in the "grand genre *Agrion*" of de Selys (1876). The various "sous-genres" into which he divided it he arranged in two series, characterized solely by the presence or the absence of an apical ventral spine on the eighth abdominal segment of the female. Even if it be true that this structure is a sure guide to affinities, such a character is very unsatisfactory, from the practical point of view, as a means of identification. Considerable evidence, too, may be brought against the view that the presence or absence of this spine is of such great importance †.

The key given below is based on extensive series of statistics gathered in the hope of finding characters common to both sexes. Broadly speaking, the genera are arranged in an order representing a reduction from a more numerous- to a less numerous-veined condition, just as the other legions of Agrioninæ are arranged in this work. The statistics show that it is futile to expect any character in this group to be so constant as to afford a sure and unvarying generic diagnosis. Each genus must, in consequence, be considered as characterized by the combination of a number of features any one of which may be absent in one or other member of that genus.

* For Division I. see p. 65.

† See Ent. News, ix. p. 72 (1898), and data given under *Ischnura demorsa*, *I. denticollis*, and *Leptobasis vacillans*, infra. As de Selys himself pointed out, *Enallagma* and *Agrion* differ only in the presence or absence of the spine, yet his system rather emphasizes this slight difference than their apparently close relationship.