

4. *Emys mobiliensis*.

Emys mobiliensis, Holbr. N.-Am. Herp. i. p. 71, t. 9.

Ptychemys mobiliensis, Agass. Nat. Hist. U. S. Amer. i. p. 433.

? *Emys ventricosa*, Gray, Cat. Shield Rept. p. 28, t. 14.

Hab. NORTH AMERICA, Pensacola, Texas.—MEXICO, Nuevo Leon.

Agassiz (*l. c.*) mentions that he had received specimens of this species from Pensacola, Texas, and from Nuevo Leon, near Cadereita, Mexico. I have not seen an example of the species, unless the type of *Emys ventricosa*, the origin of which is unknown, belongs to it.

5. *Emys callirostris*.

Emys callirostris, Gray, Cat. Shield Rept. p. 25, t. 12 B.

Callichelys callirostris, Gray, Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist. 1863, xii. p. 181.

Hab. West coast of CENTRAL AMERICA.

This, perhaps the most beautiful, species of this section of *Emys*, has been well figured by Gray, so that a further description seems to be unnecessary. Only the typical specimen is known, and there is every reason to believe that it came into the collection of Haslar Hospital with a number of other objects from the west coast of Central America; at any rate, it will be well to include it provisionally in the present list, as it has been confounded with the following species.

6. *Emys umbra*. (Tabb. IV., V., & VI. fig. A).

Emys grayi, Aug. Dum. & Boc. Miss. Sc. Mex., Rept. p. 13, t. 3. fig. 2 (not Günth.).

Emys callirostris, Bocourt, Journ. Zool. 1876, p. 387 (not Gray).

Emys concinna, Gray, Ann. & Mag. N. H. 1873, xii. p. 110.

Emys umbra, (Bocourt,) O'Shaughnessy, Zool. Record, xiii., Rept. p. 6 *.

Callichelys callirostris, Sumichrast, Bull. Soc. Zool. France, 1880, p. 166.

Hab. MEXICO (*coll. Brit. Mus.*), Tapana and San Mateo near Tehuantepec (*Sumichrast, coll. B. M.*); GUATEMALA, Rio Nagualate (*Bocourt*).

This species differs from all the preceding species by the acutely-pointed snout, which is conspicuously longer than the eye. The hind feet, as well as the fore feet, are broadly webbed; the claws sharp and long. The shell is much depressed, slightly rugose or smooth, and generally very similar to that of *Emys ornata*. The type of the coloration of the soft parts also very much resembles that of the latter species, but the

* The author of the Record on Reptiles for 1876 refers to p. 26 of the 'Journal de Zoologie.' This is incorrect; he merely used a separate copy of M. Bocourt's paper, which cannot legitimately be considered a publication, and at the end of which a note is added in which the change of name from *grayi* to *umbra* is proposed. As this note does not occur in the really published paper in the 'Journal de Zoologie,' 1876, p. 411, the publication of the name *umbra* rests solely with the notice in the 'Zoological Record' as quoted above.