

Synchloe marina (I. p. 181).

To the localities given, add:—MEXICO, Amula and Xucumanatlan in Guerrero (*H. H. Smith*).

8 (A). **Synchloe endeis**. (Tab. CVIII. figg. 5, 6, ♂.)

Synchloë endeis, Godm. & Salv. Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist. (6) xiv. p. 97¹.

S. marinæ similis, sed anticis maculis omnibus et posticis fascia discali albidis: subtus anticis fere dimidio basali, macula subapicali aliisque duabus ad angulum analem, ferrugineis; posticis macula costali ad basin, fascia inter lineis nigris discalibus, fasciaque submarginali, ferrugineis.

♀ mari similis.

Hab. MEXICO, Sierra Madre de Tepic (*Richardson*¹).

Mr. Richardson has sent us a long series of this species from Western Mexico; it differs constantly from *S. marina* in the characters noted above*.

8 (B). **Synchloe hylæus**. (Tab. CVIII. figg. 7, 8, ♂.)

Synchloë hylæus, Godm. & Salv. Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist. (6) xiv. p. 97¹.

S. marinæ similis, sed anticis fascia centrali macularum magis obvia, cum serie in disco posticarum fasciam communem formante, anticis maculis omnibus flavis (nec albis): subtus anticis macula rubra in cellula et ea ad costæ basin posticarum absentibus.

♀ mari similis.

Hab. MEXICO, Durango city (*Becker*¹).

A northern form of *S. marina*, of which we possess one male and three females, agreeing well together.

Synchloe gaudialis (I. p. 182).

To the localities given, add:—MEXICO, Teapa (*H. H. Smith*).

Sent us in numbers from Teapa.

Synchloe bonplandi (I. p. 182).

To the localities given, add:—PANAMA, Bugaba (*Champion*).

To judge from the description, *Chlosyne brunhilda*, Staud. (Exot. Schmett. p. 96), from Chiriqui, seems to be the form of this species with the basal half of the secondaries fulvous, instead of yellow, noticed by us in the early part of this work.

ERESIA (I. p. 183).

Eresia eranites (I. p. 185).

To the localities given, add:—MEXICO, Jalapa (*F. D. G.*), Cuesta de Misantla (*Trujillo*), Atoyac (*H. H. Smith*); GUATEMALA, Sinanja and Chiacam in Vera Paz (*Champion*); PANAMA, Bugaba (*Champion*).

* There is an error in our original description¹: for "the whitish-black marginal spots" read "the whitish and black spots."