

Hab. GUATEMALA¹, Las Nubes (*Salvin, mus. D.*); NICARAGUA, Chontales (*Belt, mus. Oxf.*); PANAMA, Chiriqui (*mus. Staudinger*).

The specimen figured is the type from Guatemala. The only other examples known to me are in the Hope collection at Oxford and in that of Dr. Staudinger.

6. *Chærocampa anubus*.

Sphinx anubus, Cr. Pap. Ex. t. 128. f. F¹.

Chærocampa anubus, Butl. Trans. Z. S. ix. p. 562²; Boisd. Sp. Gén. des Lép. Hét. i. p. 266³.

Hab. NICARAGUA, Chontales (*Belt, mus. Oxf.*); PANAMA, Chiriqui (*mus. Staudinger*).—GUIANA, Surinam¹, Cayenne³; BRAZIL², Rio.

The only Central-American specimens of this species that I have seen are in the Hope collection at Oxford.

7. *Chærocampa tersa*.

Sphinx tersa, Linn. Mant. p. 538¹; Drury, Ill. i. p. 61, t. 28. f. 3².

Chærocampa tersa, Walk. Cat. viii. p. 131³.

Hab. NORTH AMERICA³, Maryland².—MEXICO³, Mazatlan (*H. Edwards*), Cordova (*Rümeli*); BRITISH HONDURAS, Belize (*Blancaneaux*); GUATEMALA, Dueñas (*F. D. G. & O. S., mus. Oxf.*), Coban (*Champion*); NICARAGUA, Chontales (*Belt*); PANAMA, Chiriqui (*Arcé, mus. D.*).—ANTILLES, Jamaica², San Domingo³, &c.; ECUADOR (*mus. D.*); TRINIDAD (*mus. D.*); PARAGUAY (*mus. D.*); BRAZIL³.

I have a large series of this wide-ranging species before me. In Guatemala it seems to be a scarce insect; I have only seen two specimens from that locality. Those from Paraguay are the smallest I have seen, but do not differ in any specific characters that would enable me to separate them.

8. *Chærocampa crotonis*.

Chærocampa crotonis, Walk. Cat. viii. p. 133¹; Boisd. Sp. Gén. des Lép. Hét. i. p. 170².

Hab. NICARAGUA²; PANAMA, Chiriqui (*mus. Staudinger*).—VENEZUELA¹.

9. *Chærocampa aristor*. (Tab. I. fig. 7.)

Chærocampa aristor, Boisd. Lép. Guat. p. 69¹; Sp. Gén. des Lép. Hét. i. p. 270².

Chærocampa aristor, Butl. Trans. Z. S. ix. p. 563³.

Hab. GUATEMALA¹.—COLOMBIA^{1 2}; VENEZUELA³.

For the pleasure of figuring this species I am indebted to Mons. C. Oberthür's kindness in allowing me to have the type specimen from his collection for that purpose. I must, however, remark that its presence in Central America requires confirmation, as it rests solely on the authority of Boisdual, who included it in his paper on the